To:

ruesday, october 10, 2001 0.04 PM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

chad berliner 8884 pioneer rd. larsen, wisconsin, wi 54947

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

chad berliner 92-420-5764

To: Subject: Tuesday, October 10, 2001 Closs Ni

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

chad berliner 8884 pioneer rd. larsen, wisconsin, wi 54947

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

chad berliner 92-420-5764

To:

Padaday, Odiobas 15, 2004 010 F.P.II. Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

chad berliner 8884 pioneer rd. larsen, wisconsin, wi 54947

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

chad berliner 92-420-5764

To: Subject:

ydeangana, a sission ic, 2007 11:18 i in

Commissioner Adelstein Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber 2029 La Salle Avenue Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Franci

Chantele Barber [poohdol]1977@yahoo.com]

- Woulliesaly, Johnson 10, 2004 11110 Pivi

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber 2029 La Salle Avenue Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Chantele Barber [poohdoll1977@yahoo.com]

J. 111.

Wodnostry, occasor to, 2005 Militaria

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber 2029 La Salle Avenue Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Chantele Barber [poohdoll1977@vahoo.com]

والمراجع والمناه والم والمناه والمناه والمناه والمناه والمناه والمناه والمناه والمناه

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber 2029 La Salle Avenue Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chantela Barbar Inophdoll 1977@yahoo comil

Secretary Look and Date.

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber 2029 La Salle Avenue Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene linebol [ck71850@hotmail.com]

wichuay, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel 620 Main St Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Charlene Knobel [ck71850@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel 620 Main St Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charlene Knobel [ck71850@hotmail.com]

To:

Monday, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM

Subject:

KJMWEB Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel 620 Main St Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Charlene Knobel [ck71850@hotmail.com] Monday, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM

To: Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel 620 Main St Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby 16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8 Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com]

To:

Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM

Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby 16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8 Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby 16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8 Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby 16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8 Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM

Sent:

KJMWEB

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby 16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8 Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart 1641 E. Turner Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart 417-869-9554

From: Sent: Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM

To: Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart 1641 E. Turner Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart 417-869-9554

From:

Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart 1641 E. Turner Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart 417-869-9554

From:

Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart 1641 E. Turner Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart 417-869-9554

From: Sent:

Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com] Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett 14520 S. Abbottsford Rd. Midlothian, Il 60445

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com] Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett 14520 S. Abbottsford Rd. Midlothian, Il 60445

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com] Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett 14520 S. Abbottsford Rd. Midlothian, Il 60445

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com]

Sent:

Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett 14520 S. Abbottsford Rd. Midlothian, Il 60445

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace 539 Norman Road Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM

Sent: To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace 539 Norman Road Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com]

Sent: To: Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM

Subject:

Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace 539 Norman Road Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace 539 Norman Road Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com] Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace 539 Norman Road Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,